



POSITION STATEMENT AND RESEARCH BRIEF
Digital Resources in
Early Childhood Literacy
Development

The International Literacy Association maintains that rich, digital resources have a place in early childhood literacy development. Careful, intentional, and developmentally appropriate use of digital texts and tools can build young children's language and literacy skills while providing young children with opportunities to deepen their understanding about the forms and functions of digital text in meaning making.

Digital technologies are pervasive in homes, schools, and communities, yet beliefs about and recommendations for how they are used in early childhood vary widely.

The everyday lives of children growing up in Western English-speaking countries are shaped by the ubiquitous presence of digital and interactive multimedia, often presented via technologies such as tablets and smartphones (i.e., touchscreens). Digital technologies are pervasive in homes, schools, and communities, yet beliefs about and recommendations for how they are used in early childhood vary widely. The prominence of so many differing views about digital technologies in early childhood can lead to great confusion for families, educators, and policymakers.

Current policy statements from a variety of sources vary widely in terms of the following:

- How they define technologies
- Whether they include research studies informing their conclusions
- Whether they are connected to the digital industry

For example, some argue that digital technologies should have a very limited role in early childhood contexts, as the use of digital technologies will come to supplant, rather than supplement, key learning opportunities such as hands-on experiences or social interaction (e.g., Campaign for a Commercial-Free Childhood, Alliance for Childhood, & Teachers Resisting Unhealthy Children's Entertainment, 2012; Cordes & Miller, 2000; Miller, 2005).

Given the prominence of digital technology, others emphasize promoting healthy screen time habits and use. In 2011, for example, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) advised that children under 2 years of age avoid media use. However, in 2016, the AAP revised its guidelines to account for the abundance of new digital media. The AAP now emphasizes the importance of selecting digital media that are developmentally

appropriate, with high-quality content. The AAP also emphasizes social interaction as an essential component of children's screen time, a goal that is accomplished by having adults play or view along with children.

Still other policy statements convey similar recommendations but go a step further, arguing that when judiciously selected and strategically used, digital technologies enhance children's opportunities for learning (e.g., Early Childhood Australia, 2018; Lerner & Barr, 2014; National Association for the Education of Young Children & the Fred Rogers Center, 2012, Scottish Government, 2013; U.S. Department of Education & U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2016).

Common threads across these policy statements include the following:

- Selection of high-quality digital media conveying content that supports curricular and learning goals and includes minimal distractors (e.g., ads, links that take users away from a site)
- Integration of digital technologies in ways that complement and enhance learning with other essential materials and activities
- Use of technology that supports development of creativity, exploration, collaboration, problem solving, and knowledge development
- Use of technology to strengthen home–school connections
- Access to assistive technologies to support equitable opportunities for learning

These statements consistently express concern over the quality of content and the lack of any regulation of commercial advertising in children's media. Because of such concerns, seeking out digital media that do not exploit children's development or personal information data is imperative. Several efforts have been made to address the quality and ethical delivery of such tools to young audiences, for example, the *Designing for Children's Rights* (Designing for Children's Rights Association, 2018) is a resource for interactive digital media designers. Other tools to help families and educators determine quality are available from KIDMAP (Haines, 2017) and the National Association for the Education of Young Children's "Selecting Apps to Support Children's Learning" resource.

[S]eeking out digital media that do not exploit children's development or personal information data is imperative.

In addition, families and educators need to be vigilant about children's overall time spent with screens in the absence of social interaction, or with low-quality content. Abundant warning about the potential harms digital media can cause will not by itself remediate the situation (Paciga & Donohue, 2017).

Integrating Digital Resources in Early Learning Contexts

Growing evidence suggests that despite reported concern about the impact of technology on attention and socialization, meaningful use of high-quality digital resources is essential in preparing *all* young children for long-term academic success. For example, meaningful use of digital resources yielded significantly greater benefit to important literacy outcomes for young children than use of traditional resources only (Cviko, McKenney, & Voogt, 2012, 2013) and diminished substantially the language and literacy differences between children from high- and low-poverty backgrounds (Neuman, Newman, & Dwyer, 2010).

Verbal interactions between adults and children mediated by digital tools (e.g., Skype) also can result in new language learning (Roseberry, Hirsh-Pasek, & Golinkoff, 2014), and there are examples of significant differences in short-term foundational skills learning (e.g., D'Agostino, Rodgers, Harmey, & Brownfield, 2016; Savage et al., 2013; Shamir, Korat, & Fellah, 2012) and targeted vocabulary learning (e.g., Smeets & Bus, 2012, 2014) that is facilitated through specific digital resources. Some studies have demonstrated that these effects may be long lasting, as they are associated with academic achievement 10 years beyond early childhood (e.g., Kirkorian, Wartella, & Anderson, 2008).

One important advantage of digital resources that is less prominent in traditional nondigital resources is their multimodal capacity (i.e., content conveyed through more than one mode such as animation, images, print, sound). According to the multimodal perspective, children make meaning using multiple modes (texts, pictures, words, gestures, movements, or production of artifacts), and all these modes complement one another as children seek to interpret their world and convey their understanding.

This view is often not shared by current educational curricula, which privileges written and oral modes of expression and

[M]eaningful use of high-quality digital resources is essential in preparing all young children for long-term academic success.

meaning making. Multimedia digital technologies, with their rich array of possibilities for expression, disrupt such a paradigm. Studies show that when given the opportunity, children make conscious decisions about the ways in which they express different meanings, and that these choices reflect their identities in the classroom, family background, and cultural heritage (Kucirkova, 2017).

Research has also documented the rich pathways children take when engaging with digital technologies in classrooms (e.g., Wohlwend, 2010, 2013, 2015), and together with dozens of studies in U.K. preschools (e.g. Marsh, Plowman, Yamada-Rice, Bishop, & Scott, 2016), alerts us to the restrictive, adult-dominated view of literacy.

The meaning of reading and writing in the digital age has changed, and there is an urgent need to link play and literacy to the multimodal opportunities offered by new digital media. Greater alignment between children's use of digital technologies in and out of schools is essential for supporting not only children's literacy and communication skills but also peer relationships.

Jenkins (2003) made a similar point in relation to video games: Their wide availability and low cost supplemented lack of playgrounds in urban spaces. Suddenly, everyone could play and participate in a game where one's friends were. So that children are prepared for the highly digitized world they enter, they need to start building an understanding of its benefits and limitations from young age.

Guidelines for Practitioners

Researchers across disciplines agree that for evaluating screen time, we need to consider the content, the context, the individual child, and the connections among them (Guernsey, 2012; Kucirkova, 2015). High-quality resources can be joined with traditional resources in homes and schools; for example, an e-book that contains a prerecorded reading of a story can complement reading print books. There needs to be a judicious balance between digital and nondigital resources and content consumption and content creation.

With access to their friends and a wide variety of content, children can acquire new knowledge and skills, but they can also share their knowledge and creativity near and far. To this end, we offer four guidelines for making decisions about

There needs to be a judicious balance between digital and nondigital resources and content consumption and content creation.

how best to integrate digital technologies into early childhood contexts:

1. Review and select high-quality digital resources that do the following:
 - a. Align with curricular and learning goals
 - b. Afford opportunities not otherwise provided by traditional resources
 - c. Convey accurate content
 - d. Contain few, if any, features that distract from the content
 - e. Contain no ads or commercialized or politicized messages
 - f. Support creativity, imagination, and collaboration
2. Provide meaningful opportunities for learning with and through digital resources:
 - a. Move past technology-as-reward and technology-as-isolated-drill-and-practice frameworks for integrating digital resources, as these practices contribute to the digital use gap that has been documented in the research
 - b. View and play with children as they engage with digital resources—be present with children
 - c. Mediate children’s understanding of content, problem solving, reasoning (e.g., ask questions about content; encourage children to reason, ask questions, and make inferences)
 - d. Encourage children to collaborate as they engage with media (e.g., work with a partner, relative, or friend abroad)
 - e. Help children connect what they learn with and through technology to other learning contexts and activities
3. Blend use of digital and nondigital resources:
 - a. Retain printed books, pencils and paper, and even old technologies like overhead projectors in early education contexts
 - b. Examine *why* you want to select a digital versus nondigital resource—adding a digital tool should not simply replace ongoing literacy practices with those conducted

View and play with children as they engage with digital resources—be present with children.

on screen; transform the task or the end product children create when selecting interactive digital media and technologies as an additional tool

4. Build home–school connections:

- a. Connect with families and caregivers to keep them informed about children’s learning; there are many tools that are useful to help with communication
- b. Act as media mentors for caregivers who may not be aware of quality interactive media resources, or the rationale for using such tools for learning
- c. Invite families to share information about their communities and home with others in the classroom through the screen

Act as media mentors for caregivers.

REFERENCES

- Campaign for a Commercial-Free Childhood, Alliance for Childhood, & Teachers Resisting Unhealthy Children's Entertainment. (2012). *Facing the screen dilemma: Young children, technology and early education*. Boston, MA: Campaign for a Commercial-Free Childhood; New York, NY: Alliance for Childhood. Retrieved from www.commercialfreechildhood.org/sites/default/files/facingthescreendilemma.pdf
- Cordes, C., & Miller, E. (Eds.). (2000). *Fool's gold: A critical look at computers in childhood*. College Park, MD: Alliance for Childhood.
- Cviko, A., McKenney, S., & Voogt, J. (2012). Teachers enacting a technology-rich curriculum for emergent literacy. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 60*(1), 31–54.
- Cviko, A., McKenney, S., & Voogt, J. (2013). The teacher as re-designer of technology integrated activities for an early literacy curriculum. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 48*(4), 447–468.
- D'Agostino, J.V., Rodgers, E., Harmey, S., & Brownfield, K. (2016). Introducing an iPad app into literacy instruction for struggling readers: Teacher perceptions and student outcomes. *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy, 16*(4), 522–548.
- Designing for Children's Rights Association. (2018). *Designing for children's rights guide*. Retrieved from <https://childrensdesignguide.org/>
- Early Childhood Australia. (2018). *Statement on young children and digital technologies*. Canberra, ACT: Author. Retrieved from www.earlychildhoodaustralia.org.au/our-work/submissions-statements/eca-statement-young-children-digital-technologies/
- Guernsey, L. (2012). *Screen time: How electronic media—from baby videos to educational software—affects your young child*. New York, NY: Basic.
- Haines, C. (2017). *The DIG checklist for inclusive, high-quality children's media*. Retrieved from www.joinkidmap.org/digchecklist/
- Jenkins, H. (2003, January 15). Transmedia storytelling. *MIT Technology Review*. Retrieved from www.technologyreview.com/s/401760/transmedia-storytelling/
- Kirkorian, H.L., Wartella, E.A., & Anderson, D.R. (2008). Media and young children's learning. *The Future of Children, 18*(1), 39–61.
- Kucirkova, N. (2015, August 25). The Cs in children's screen time: Some food for thought. *Huffington Post*. Retrieved from www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/dr-natalia-kucirkova/the-cs-in-childrens-screen-time_b_8034994.html
- Kucirkova, N. (2017). *Digital personalization in early childhood: Impact on childhood*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Lerner, C., & Barr, R. (2014). Screen sense: Setting the record straight. *Zero to Three, 35*(4), 1–10.
- Marsh, J., Plowman, L., Yamada-Rice, D., Bishop, J., & Scott, F. (2016). Digital play: A new classification. *Early Years, 36*(3), 242–253.
- Miller, E. (2005). Fighting technology for toddlers. *Education Digest, 71*(3), 55–58.
- National Association for the Education of Young Children. (n.d.). Selecting apps to support children's learning. Retrieved from www.naeyc.org/our-work/families/selecting-apps-support-children
- National Association for the Education of Young Children & Fred Rogers Center for Early Learning and Children's Media at Saint Vincent College. (2012). *Technology and interactive media as tools in early childhood programs serving children from birth through age 8*. Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.naeyc.org/files/naeyc/file/positions/PS_technology_WEB2.pdf
- Neuman, S.B., Newman, E.H., & Dwyer, J. (2010). *Educational effects of an embedded multimedia vocabulary intervention for economically disadvantaged pre-K children: A randomized trial*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan.
- Paciga, K.A., & Donohue, C. (2017). *Technology and interactive media for young children: A whole child approach connecting the vision of Fred Rogers with research and practice*. Latrobe, PA: Fred Rogers Center for Early Learning and Children's Media at St. Vincent College and Technology in Early Childhood Center at Erikson Institute. Retrieved from <http://teccenter.erikson.edu/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/FRC-Report-2-1.pdf>
- Roseberry, S., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Golinkoff, R.M. (2014). Skype me! Socially contingent interactions help toddlers learn language. *Child Development, 85*(3), 956–970.
- Savage, R., Abrami, P.C., Piquette, N., Wood, E., Deleveau, G., Sanghera-Sidhu, S., & Burgos, G. (2013). A (Pan-Canadian) cluster randomized control effectiveness trial of the ABRACADABRA web-based

- literacy program. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105(2), 310–328.
- Scottish Government. (2013). *Play strategy for Scotland: Our vision*. Retrieved from www.gov.scot/resource/0042/00425722.pdf
- Shamir, A., Korat, O., & Fella, R. (2012). Promoting vocabulary, phonological awareness and concept about print among children at risk for learning disability: Can e-books help? *Reading and Writing*, 25(1), 45–69.
- Smeets, D.J.H., & Bus, A.G. (2012). Interactive electronic storybooks for kindergartners to promote vocabulary growth. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 112(1), 36–55.
- Smeets, D.J.H., & Bus, A.G. (2015). The interactive animated e-book as a word learning device for kindergartners. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 36(4), 899–920.
- U.S. Department of Education & U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2016). *Early learning and educational technology policy brief*. Washington, DC. Retrieved from <http://tech.ed.gov/files/2016/10/Early-Learning-Tech-Policy-Brief.pdf>
- Wohlwend, K.E. (2010). A is for avatar: Young children in literacy 2.0 worlds and literacy 1.0 schools. *Language Arts*, 88(2), 144–152.
- Wohlwend, K.E. (2013). *Literacy playshop: New literacies, popular media, and play in the early childhood classroom*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Wohlwend, K.E. (2015). One screen, many fingers: Young children's collaborative literacy play with digital puppetry apps and touchscreen technologies. *Theory Into Practice*, 54(2), 154–162.

International Literacy Association Early Literacy Committee

Committee Chair

Sharon O'Neal, Texas State University Round Rock Campus

Principal Authors

Kathleen A. Paciga, Columbia College Chicago

Lisa M. O'Brien, Merrimack College

Natalia Kucirkova, University of Stavanger, Norway

Audrey O'Clair, Soundtrap

Committee Members

Christina M. Cassano, Salem State University

Susan Dougherty, Rider University

Emily Brown Hoffman, Ball State University

Diane Lapp, San Diego State University

Leigh E. Rohde, Salem State University

Colleen Whittingham, University of North Carolina at Charlotte

Board Liaison

Susan Paasch, Sauk Rapids-Rice Public Schools

Bernadette Dwyer, Dublin City University, Ireland, President and Board Liaison, International Literacy Association

Douglas Fisher, San Diego State University, Immediate Past President, International Literacy Association

Kathy Headley, Clemson University, Vice President, International Literacy Association

Marcie Craig Post, Executive Director, International Literacy Association

INTERNATIONAL
LITERACY
ASSOCIATION

© 2019 International Literacy Association | No. 9449

This literacy leadership brief is available in PDF form for free download through the International Literacy Association's website: literacyworldwide.org/statements.

Media Contact: For all media inquiries, please contact press@reading.org.

Suggested APA Reference

International Literacy Association. (2019). *Digital resources in early childhood literacy development* [Position statement and research brief]. Newark, DE: Author.

About the International Literacy Association

The International Literacy Association (ILA) is a global advocacy and membership organization dedicated to advancing literacy for all through its network of more than 300,000 literacy educators, researchers, and experts across 146 countries. With over 60 years of experience, ILA has set the standard for how literacy is defined, taught, and evaluated. ILA's *Standards for the Preparation of Literacy Professionals 2017* provides an evidence-based benchmark for the development and evaluation of literacy professional preparation programs. ILA collaborates with partners across the world to develop, gather, and disseminate high-quality resources, best practices, and cutting-edge research to empower educators, inspire students, and inform policymakers. ILA publishes *The Reading Teacher*, *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, and *Reading Research Quarterly*, which are peer reviewed and edited by leaders in the field. For more information, visit literacyworldwide.org.



@ILAToday



/ILAToday



/InternationalLiteracyAssociation



literacyworldwide.org