Proposal Submission Guidelines

The International Literacy Association 2019 Conference (ILA 2019) is an ideal forum for literacy professionals to share their knowledge and best practices and to network with colleagues. The educational programming that is submitted, reviewed, and selected by literacy educators is key to the event’s success. Due to space and time limitations, only an estimated 30% of submissions can be accepted each year. This acceptance rate maintains a high-quality level of programming—and it’s a primary reason ILA conferences are so successful. All reviewed proposals must be submitted electronically via the ILA 2019 Proposal Submission Site. Reviewed proposals will not be accepted after the deadline.

Instructions for Proposal Submission

1. Read this Proposal Submission Guidelines document and all linked resources.
2. Log in with your username name (email address) and password or create a profile at ilaconference.org/proposals.
3. After you log in, click Create New Proposal. The submission page showing the tabs that require completion will appear. Please familiarize yourself with the tab headers and required fields before entering any information. Carefully review the explanatory text above each field to understand the expectations for that information.
4. All text boxes show character limits, which includes characters and spaces, and will count down as you enter your information. You can copy and paste from a previously created document; however, please check the character counts before copying and pasting so your content is not cut off in the system.
5. Create a concise, engaging, and accurate title for your proposal that reflects the presentation content and will entice attendees. Do not enter presenter information in the title field.
6. Choose your session type carefully; once you make your selection in the system, you cannot change it.
7. Follow the instructions on the Presenter(s) tab to assign the primary and copresenters. (The primary presenter is the author who is submitting the proposal and to whom all correspondence from ILA will be sent; copresenters are all additional presenters contributing to the proposal.)
8. Select the appropriate age level for your presentation, a category to guide the peer-review process, and the target audiences for your presentation.
9. As you complete your submission, refer to the ILA 2019 Scoring Rubric for Peer-Reviewed Proposals to ensure that you’re meeting the expectations the reviewers will have as they score your proposal.
10. Your proposal will not be submitted until you click “Yes, I am ready to submit my proposal.” After it is submitted, you may edit the proposal (except for the session type) until the submission deadline. (Remember to click Save in order to submit your edits.)

Notification

Notification of acceptance/nonacceptance will be sent via e-mail in February 2019.

Registration Fees

All presenters are responsible for their ILA 2019 Conference registration fees and any expenses associated with the presentation. For accepted institute proposals, complimentary registrations are offered for up to 10 presenters for Institute Day ONLY.
# ILA 2019 Scoring Rubric for Peer-Reviewed Proposals

(Institute, Hands-On Workshop, Session, Panel, Poster Presentation, Special Interest Group Session)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research-Based</strong>&lt;br&gt;Does the proposal show evidence of current research?</td>
<td>There is no indication that the proposal is grounded in research.</td>
<td>The proposal states research but that research is not current.</td>
<td>The proposal states some relevant research and/or best practices.</td>
<td>The proposal states relevant, current research, and/or best practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highlights Best Practices or New Learning</strong>&lt;br&gt;How well does the proposal capture an innovative approach, new learning in the field, or important practices?</td>
<td>The proposal does not include new or important practices.</td>
<td>The proposal does include some new or important practices, but it is not the center of the proposal.</td>
<td>The proposal is centered on new or important practices.</td>
<td>The proposal centers on urgent new or important practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effective Structure</strong>&lt;br&gt;How well does the structure of the presentation allow for audience engagement appropriate to the learning objectives/takeaways?</td>
<td>The structure will not allow for appropriate audience engagement or is unclear.</td>
<td>The structure may allow for appropriate audience engagement but it is not exactly clear from the proposal.</td>
<td>It is likely that the structure will allow for appropriate audience engagement.</td>
<td>The proposal clearly demonstrates that the structure is appropriate for audience engagement and is appropriate for the learning objectives/takeaways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Well-Written and Coherent</strong>&lt;br&gt;How well do the title, description, and learning objectives/takeaways create an engaging and coherent image of the session?</td>
<td>The proposal is incoherent.</td>
<td>The proposal is coherent but not engaging.</td>
<td>The proposal is coherent and somewhat engaging.</td>
<td>The proposal is coherent and engaging in ways that might entice participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relevant, Engaging, and Compelling</strong>&lt;br&gt;Given the limited number of presentation slots available and the high volume of proposals received, how likely would you be to attend or recommend this presentation if placed on the program?</td>
<td>The topic is not relevant or engaging. I would not attend or recommend it.</td>
<td>Some may see this topic as compelling, but I would probably not attend or recommend it.</td>
<td>The topic is somewhat compelling and relevant. I may attend or recommend it.</td>
<td>The topic is compelling and relevant. I would probably attend or recommend it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ILA 2019 SESSION TYPES Peer-Reviewed Educational Programming

Submissions must be made in one of the following presentation formats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FORMAT</th>
<th>DURATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>NO. OF PRESENTERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INSTITUTE</strong></td>
<td>Full day, Thursday, (one-hour lunch break and one morning coffee break)</td>
<td>Full-day, preconference meeting—requiring separate, paid registration from the ILA 2019 Conference registration—in which a group of presenters explores a common theme or issue in depth. The format may include formal presentations, panels, audience question-and-answer sessions, small-group discussions, workshop activities, and demonstrations. The selection of activities will depend on the theme, objectives, and types of information to be presented. Institute organizers are provided one main room and up to two additional breakout rooms (please request these in your proposal submission). For accepted institute proposals, complimentary registrations, for Institute Day ONLY, are offered for up to 10 presenters.</td>
<td>1 to 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HANDS-ON WORKSHOP</strong></td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>Designed to provide attendees opportunities to participate actively in a learning experience on a common topic. Formal presentations are discouraged; the major portion of the program should be devoted to activities that actively engage the audience with the topic or materials under consideration. Seating is strictly limited to 75 people.</td>
<td>1 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SESSION</strong></td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>Standard presentation designed to offer attendees one or two short presentations on a common topic.</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANEL</strong></td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>Presentation at which several speakers deliver short addresses on a common topic and then interact with each other and the audience.</td>
<td>3 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POSTER PRESENTATION</strong></td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>Posters are displayed in a central location in the conference convention center, and presenters provide information on their specific topic. Each session includes up to 15 poster presentations grouped by category. Attendees move between and among posters and talk with presenters about their work; feedback and discussion are encouraged at each station. Poster presentations submitted to the Literacy Policy, Advocacy, &amp; Research category must include background research, methodology, and summary or research findings.</td>
<td>1 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP SESSION</strong></td>
<td>1 hour or 2 hours</td>
<td>Group presentation organized by ILA’s Special Interest Groups (SIG) around a specific topic related to that SIG.</td>
<td>1 to 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ILA 2019 SUBMISSION CATEGORIES

Please select one category that best fits your proposal to help guide the peer-review process.

1. **Children’s & Young Adult Literature**—Engaging students and increasing their literacy learning through multiple genres of children’s and young adult literature.
2. **Coaching & Literacy Leadership**—Exploring best practices and professional standards that support educators in these roles.
3. **Content Area Literacy**—Building literacy capacity across content areas to increase student comprehension and achievement.
4. **Differentiation for Exceptional Learners**—Implementing instructional strategies to meet the needs of diverse student populations, including English learners, gifted learners, struggling learners, and students with disabilities.
5. **Engaging Classroom Instruction**—Using practical literacy strategies for high engagement and rich student learning.
6. **Foundational Skills**—Examining the essential skills necessary for creating successful, lifelong learners, including fluency, comprehension, phonics, phonological and phonemic awareness, and vocabulary.
7. **Innovating With Technology**—Integrating technology into literacy instruction in authentic, impactful ways.
8. **International Literacy Instruction**—Highlighting international literacy issues at the instructional level that are of interest to educators across the globe.
9. **Literacy Policy, Advocacy, & Research**—Delving into policy issues that affect literacy educators, ways to serve as effective literacy education advocates, and/or cutting-edge studies that inform literacy instruction and decision making.
10. **Standards & Assessments**—Addressing instructional shifts driven by standards, including Common Core; strategies for implementation; and using formative and performance assessments to inform decision making about the needs of individual learners.
11. **Teacher Preparation & Professional Development**—Preparing effective literacy educators and/or strengthening their ongoing professional learning.
ILA 2019 Proposal Selection Process FAQ

Who Reviews Submitted Proposals?
Reviewers are selected through an annual call for volunteers. Reviewers use a standard rubric to evaluate submissions for the six peer-reviewed session types offered: Institute, Hands-On Workshop, Session, Panel, and Poster Presentation, Special Interest Group Session. Criteria are evaluated on a scale of 1–5 (1 = insufficient; 5 = excellent). View the ILA 2019 rubric.

Are the Proposals Blind Reviewed?
Proposals undergo a double-blind review process in which neither reviewers nor presenters are revealed. A successful session requires strong content and organization as well as a presenter who has the background and experience to discuss the topic. The double-blind review puts all presenters on a level playing field to build the case for their expertise.

How Are Proposals Selected for Acceptance?
Proposals are ranked on the basis of reviewer ratings. Because there are usually far more high-quality proposals than space available, additional screening is required to reduce the number of presentations and balance the overall program in terms of topics and grade-level representation. Space limitations usually mean that some high-quality sessions are not accepted.

Are There Ever Conflicts of Interest Between Reviewers and Presenters?
Reviewers are randomly assigned to specific proposals, so there is the potential for a reviewer to be assigned a proposal in which he or she has a vested interest or close connection. Reviewers declare conflicts of interest and are reassigned to other proposals. ILA trusts reviewers to determine what constitutes a conflict of interest that would create an unfair review environment and to recuse themselves from evaluating such proposals.

For additional information about submitting a proposal for presentation at ILA 2019, please contact conferenceproposals@reading.org.